ORIGINAL

RE MOTION TO DISMISS

1	PATRICK J. FLINN	
	JANA G. GOLD	
2	MORRISON & FOERSTER 755 Page Mill Road	" -II ED ~
3	Palo Alto, California 94304 Telephone: (415) 813-5600	FILED
4	•	AUG 1 8 1994
5	Attorneys for Plaintiff CYLINK CORPORATION	W WIEKINGT
6		CLERK HE DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
7	4	
8	UNIT	ED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	NORTH	ERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10		
11	CYLINK CORPORATION,	() No. C 94-02332 CW
	Plaintiff,	DECLARATION OF
12	V.) CARO-KANN CORPORATION) REGARDING MOTION TO
13	RSA DATA SECURITY, INC.,) DISMISS
14	Defendant .) Date: September 9, 1994) Time: 10:30 A.M.
15	Derendant.) Courtroom: D, 15th Floor
16)
1 <i>7</i>		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		•
25		
26		
27		
	•	
28	CARO VANNI DECLARATIONI	

1	I, Robert B. Fougner, declare:		
2	1. I am the President of Caro-Kann Corporation ("CKC"), a wholly owned		
3	subsidiary of Cylink Corporation ("Cylink"). In that capacity, I have personal knowledge		
4	of the facts stated in this declaration, and I have the authority to make these		
5	representations to this Court regarding the pending suit between Cylink and RSA Data		
6	Security, Inc. ("RSADSI"). I could and would testify competently to these facts if called		
7	upon to do so.		
8	2. On June 29, 1994, I received a hand-delivered letter from RSADSI dated that		
9	same day, a copy of which is attached to my declaration submitted in opposition to		
10	RSADSI's two motions. This letter called on me, as President of CKC, and a member of		
11	PKP's Licensing Committee and Management Committee, to authorize suit by PKP against		
12	Cylink for infringement of the MIT Patent. RSADSI demanded such action despite Cylink		
13	and CKC's pending arbitration to compel RSADSI's compliance with its contractual		
14	obligation to grant Cylink's sublicense to the MIT Patent.		
15	3. RSADSI's refusal to grant Cylink's sublicense, and its demand for suit against		
16	Cylink, are strictly for RSADSI's own commercial advantage. CKC did not consent then,		
17	and does not consent now, to any suit by PKP against Cylink. Nor does CKC consent to		
18	PKP's joinder in this action.		
19	4. This lawsuit is the result of RSADSI's decision to threaten Cylink		
20	independently of PKP. CKC does not wish to join this action. CKC believes, moreover,		
21	that its interests with respect to the issues in this suit are adequately protected by Cylink in		
22	this specific instance. Thus, for purposes of the issues raised in the present First Amended		
23	Complaint, CKC agrees to be bound by any judgment entered herein.		
24			
25			
26			
27			
20			

1	I declare, under the penalty of perjury and the laws of the State of California, that			
2	the foregoing is true and correct, and that I executed this Declaration on August / 1994,			
3	in Palo Alto California.			
4	CARO-KANN CORPORATION			
5	W. M. Alley I			
6	By: // ofthe forgue Robert B. Rougney, President	ent ent		
7				
8				
9				
10				
11				
12				
13				
14	\cdot			
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28	2P163073.2 CARO-KANN DECLARATION			

RE MOTION TO DISMISS